



CONTROLREQUIREMENTS



CONTENT

lr	ntroduction	2
С	ontrol Checklist	3
	1. Accounting system	3
	2. Audit Trail Checklist	4
	3. Eligibility along Cost Categories	6
	3.1 Staff Costs	6
	3.2 Office and Administration	7
	3.3. Travel and Accommodation	7
	3.4. External Expertise and Services	7
	3.5. Equipment	8
	4. Compliance with information and publicity requirements	11
A	nnex 1 - Compliance with procurement rules	12
	1.1 Contracting amounts below EUR 10,000 (excl. VAT) unless the threshold set by the applicable national rules is stricter	12
	1.2 Contracting between EUR 10,000 (excl. VAT) and the threshold set the applicable EU, programme or national rules	-



Introduction

This document aims to provide controllers with a detailed breakdown of the checks that are expected from them every time a claim for payment is controlled. It is presented as a checklist and controllers are welcome to use it in that way, but this is not a requirement if there are nationally approved alternatives for documenting the control process. However, by signing the control report, controllers confirm that they are satisfied as to the correctness of the expenditure claimed – and thereby that they are satisfied that the project partner has met all of the requirements set out in this document. All controllers must therefore have a detailed understanding of all of the points raised here and must understand that ensuring compliance with all of the requirements set out here is an essential part of every control.

Making and reporting on deductions

The control report requires that controllers report both on the amount originally claimed by the project partner and the amount actually verified by the controller. All deductions must be listed, and a brief explanation provided of the reason for the deduction (if any). These requirements can serve as a useful guide when explaining the reasons for a deduction. Deductions must be made from the same budget line as the one where the error was found. Please note that changes to staff costs will also lead to changes in the flat rate payment for office and administration.

The control report also includes an option to provide general comments, recommendations, etc. It should be stressed that it is the responsibility of all controllers to resolve all errors with a direct financial implication as part of the control procedure and make the relevant deductions before submitting the control report.

Nature of checks

The regulations distinguish between 'administrative checks' (desk-based carried out at the premises of the controller) and 'on-the-spot checks' (carried out at the premises of the beneficiary and/or at the site of a product or service delivered by the beneficiary). You must state clearly which type of check has been carried out for each control.

The regulations encourage for sample-based controls. When applying sample checks you should be able to describe a clear and transparent methodology used for selecting the sample, and the amount of expenditure actually checked. The sample must provide assurance as to the correctness of the expenditure verified.

In addition to the checks outlined here, it is the responsibility of every controller to carry out any other checks required according to his/her professional judgement to certify the correctness of all expenditure.

Ex-ante risk assessment

Controllers are required to make use of the latest ex-ante risk assessment issued by the programme. The ex-ante risk assessment provides the controllers with the latest knowledge of risks for mistakes during project implementation and sets the scene for sampling expenditures for control.



CONTROL CHECKLIST

1. Accounting system

1.1. Accounting System							
According to Art. 74 1a(i) of Reg. (EU) No 2021/1060 Project partner maintains separate accounting records/system, or accounting code, for all transactions related to the project. Ensuring separation of project expenditure for all transactions relating to the project. Is this separation ensured?	Yes		No 🗌				
Double-financing is excluded: e.g.,accounting system avoids the allocation of the same invoice to different projects and time recording system for staff prevents any duplication	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	Comment In case of YES, please describe how it was ensured In case of No, please provide further explanation.				



2. Audit Trail Checklist

General considerations / eligibility	A	Accepted		Comments	
criteria	Yes	Not (fully)	n.a.	Comments	
The partnership agreement is signed by the project partner and latest version is available					
Costs are correctly recorded in the partner accounting system					
The source of the partner's contribution (private or public) is correctly indicated.					
Costs are directly related to the project and necessary for the implementation of the project.					
Costs are correctly allocated to the relevant cost categories.				e.g., Inspected list of expenditures.	
Costs are declared only once.				e.g., Inspected the list of expenditure and verified that expenditures had not been declared twice in different cost categories or in previous reporting periods.	
(NOT needed for flat rates and lump sum):					
[according to Art 63(2) and Art 74 1a(i) of Reg.(EU) No 2021/1060]					
Expenditure was incurred and paid in project eligibility period and supported by proof of payment.					
(NOT needed for Flat rates and lump sum):					
Expenditure is supported by invoices or documents of equivalent probative value, which are correct in content and accounting terms.					
Ineligible costs according to the Regulations, programme and national rules are excluded from the Report				e.g., Art. 64 of Reg.(EU) No 2021/1060	
VAT, except for operations set in the Art 64(1)(c) of Reg. (EU) No 2021/1060, was deducted					
The co-financed products and services were delivered, or delivery is in progress.					
Project partner has received the programme funds from the previous periods within the timeframe agreed by all partners.				e.g., Inspected in the bank statement	
The total partner budget, and budget per cost category were respected.					
If the partner contribution does not come from the partner's own resources but from an external public source, the total public contribution has not been exceeded.					



General comments, recommendations, points to follow-up; NOTE: deductions (if any) are allocated to the relevant cost categories								
Description of findings, observations, and limitations	n.a.							
Conclusions and recommendations	n.a.							
Follow-up measures for the next progress report	n.a.							



3. Eligibility along Cost Categories

3.1 Staff Costs

Criteria – Real cost			Accepted		Comments
[according to Art 39 and (d) No 2021/1059 and Art 54 at (EU) No 2021/1060]	-	Yes	Not (fully)	n.a.	
Persons who declared staff costs are employees of the project partner or work under a contract considered as an employment document.					e.g., Inspected employment/work contracts and contracts considered as employment contracts of individuals declaring staff costs (part-time and full-time).
A document defining the perworked on the project (100° available (e.g., task assignm	% or less) is				e.g., Inspected agreements of persons declaring staff costs (part-time and full-time).
The percentage worked on is correctly applied to calcu eligible staff costs.					
If the staff is involved in sev projects, it is ensured that r than 100% of the time is rel task assignement letters for are not for more than 100%					
Staff costs to which the per applied are limited to salary and other costs directly link payments incurred and paid employer for the employee the project.				 e.g., payrolls/payslips, print-out of accounting system, etc. of employees working on the project (part-time and full-time) and verified that staff costs are based on salary payments plus any other costs directly linked to salary payments incurred and paid by the employer such as employment taxes and social security including pensions provided that they are: (i) fixed in an employment document or by law; (ii) in accordance with the legislation refer red to in the employment document and with standard practices in the country and/or organisation where the individual staff member is actually working; and (iii) not recoverable by the employer. 	
General comments, recom	mendations, p	ooints to	o follow-	·up;	
Description of findings, observations and limitations	n.a.				
Conclusions and recommendations	n.a.				
Follow-up measures for	n.a.				



3.2 Office and Administration

Criteria – Simplified Cost Option			Accepted					
[according to Art 54 of Reg. 2021/1060 and Art 40.2 of F 2021/1059]	(EU) No	Yes	Not (fully)	n.a.	Comments	Index No.		
The flat rate is calculated co	orrectly.				e.g., Recalculated costs using the calculation scheme.			
There is no double declarat same cost item in other cos					e.g.,Verified that no-cost items listed in Art. 4 of Delegated Reg. (EU) No 418/2014 had been included in other cost categories.			
3.3. Travel and Accommoda	ation							
Criteria – Real Costs			Accepted	<u> </u>				
[according to Art 41 of Reg. 2021/1059]	(EU) No	Yes	Not (fully)	n.a.	Comment	5		
Travels are related to the pactivities.	roject							
Travel and accommodation costs relate to the partner organisation's staff or natural persons who work under a contract considered as employment document of the partner organisation.					e.g., Inspected invoices and documents of equivalent probative value to ensure that costs were incurred by employees or persons working under contracts considered as employment contracts.			
General comments, recommendations, points to follow-up; Description of findings, observations and n.a.								
limitations Conclusions and recommendations	n.a.							
Follow-up measures for the next progress report								
3.4. External Expertise and Services								
External expertise and serv period		uired in	orting	Yes	☐ No			



(in addition a tools for procurement verification can be found in annex 1 to this document)

Yes

Criteria – Real Costs

2021/1059]

[according to Art 42 of Reg. (EU) No

Accepted

Not (fully)

n.a.

Comments

Providers of services or expertise are external to the project partnership.				e.g., Interviewed the project partner to verify that exterr expert or service providers are not employees of the project partnership.
The types of costs listed under this co category are eligible according to EU and programme rules.	st			e.g., Verified that the types of costs listed under this cost category are eligible according to Art 6 of Delegated Reg (EU) No 481/2014.
Invoices or documents of equivalent probative value are in line with the contract(s) or, where applicable, with the selected offer, in terms of amount and nature.				e.g., Inspected invoices and documents of equivalent probative value to verify that they are in accordance with the contract(s).
(In the case of experts or services that a NOT exclusively used for the project)	are			W if the total transfer
The share allocated to the project is plausible; i.e., calculated according to fair, equitable and verifiable method.	а			e.g.,Verified that only a share of the expenditure is allocated to the project and that this share is calculated according to a fair, equitable and verifiable method.
General comments, recommendation	ns, points to	o follow-	-up;	
Description of findings, observations and limitations				
Conclusions and recommendations				
Follow-up measures for the next progress report				
3.5. Equipment				
New equipment expenditure is report	ted			☐ Yes ☐ No
(in addition a tools for procurement ver	ification car	n be four	nd in an	nex 1 to this document)
Criteria – Real Costs		Accepted	l	
[according to Art 43 of Reg. (EU) No 2021/1059]	Yes	Not (fully)	n.a.	Comments
The types of costs listed under the cost categories are eligible according to EU and programme rules.	e.g., Verified that the types of costs listed under the cost categories are eligible according to Art 43 of Reg. (EU) No 2021/1059			



Invoices or documents of equivalent probative value are in line with the contract(s) or, where applicable, the selected offer in terms of amount and nature.					e.g., Inspected invoices and documents of equivalent probative value to verify that they are in accordance with the contracts in terms of amount and nature.
The method used to calculate equipment expenditure (full costs, pro rata, depreciation) is correctly applied in line with EU and programme rules.					e.g., Verified that the calculation methods used complies with rules. e.g., For pro-rata calculation, the share allocated to the project is based on a fair, equitable and verifiable calculation method. E.g., For depreciation: it is in line with Article 67(2) or regulation (EU) 2021/1060 and programme rules
General comments, recom	mendations,	points to	o follow-	-up;	
Description of findings, observations and limitations	n.a.				
Conclusions and recommendations					
Follow-up measures for the next progress report					



3.6 Infrastructure and works¹

Criteria – Real cost [according to Art 44 of Reg. (EU) No 2021/1059]		Accepted			
		Yes	Not (fully)	n.a.	Comments
Providers of infrastructure are external to the project p					
Invoices or documents of equivalent probative value are in line with the contract(s) or, where applicable, the selected offer in terms of amount and nature.					e.g., Inspected invoices and documents of equivalent probative value to verify that they are in accordance with the contract(s) or selected offers.
(In the case of infrastructure and works that are NOT exclusively used for the project) The share allocated to the project is plausible; i.e., calculated according to a fair, equitable and verifiable method.					e.g., Verified that only a share of the expenditure is allocated to the project and that this share is calculated according to a fair, equitable and verifiable method.
General comments, recom	mendations, _l	ooints to	o follow-	·up;	
Description of findings, observations and limitations					
Conclusions and recommendations					
Follow-up measures for the next progress report					

 $^{^{\}mathrm{1}}$ A tools for procurement verification can be found in annex 1 to this document



10

4. Compliance with information and publicity requirements

Criteria - Real cost [according to Annex IX of Reg. (EU) No 2021/1060 and Art 46, 47 and 49 (6) of Reg. (EU) 2021/1060.		А	ccepted		
		Yes	Not (fully)		Comments
Information and publicity rules of the EU were complied with.					e.g., Inspected project publicity items, including brochures, agendas of conferences, studies and deliverables to ensure they meet the publicity requirements outlined in Annex XII of Reg. (EU) No 2021/1060
All communication and visibility material is made available upon request, and use of such material is granted to the Union					e.g., Communication and visibility material has been inspected and contains Union rights of use according to Art. 47 of Reg. (EU) 2021/1060 .
General comments, recom cost categories. (Note: section	•		•		deductions (if any) are allocated to the relevant
Description of findings, observations and limitations	n.a.				
Conclusions and recommendations					
Follow-up measures for the next progress report	n.a.				



Annex 1 - Compliance with procurement rules

This annex is considered a helping tool for controllers performing checks on applicable compliance with programme rules. The annex covers two sections;

- 1.1 Contract value up to EUR 10.000 (excl. VAT)
- 1.2 Contract value above EUR 10.000 (excl. VAT)

1.1 Contracting amounts below EUR 10.000 (excl. VAT) unless the threshold set by the applicable national rules is stricter.

	Confirme	Confirmed			
Verifications	Yes	No	n.a.		
1) The value for money of costs was ensured and demonstrated.					
2) There is no evidence of artificial splitting of the contract objective/val	ue.				

Results, comments, recommendations, points to follow-up (if any).

NOTE: deductions (if any) are allocated to the relevant cost categories



1.2 Contracting between EUR 10.000 (excl. VAT) and the threshold set by the applicable EU, programme or national rules.

Title of the procurement – if Applicable					
Type of procurement	services	works	works supply		
Name of purchased services/work/supply					
Name of contractor (for purchases between EUR 10.000 excl. VAT and the applicable threshold)					
Total amount as per contract (excl. VAT)					
		Confirmed			
		Yes	No	n.a.	
VERIFICATIONS					
Adequate market researches were performed a applicable, according to the national and/or pro	f				
2) There is no evidence of artificial splitting of the	contract objective/value.				
If applicable, any amendment of the contract is in lir procurement rules without putting into question the procurement procedure?					

Results, comments, recommendations, points to follow-up (if any).

NOTE: deductions (if any) are allocated to the relevant cost categories.



Get in touch

If you have any questions relating to this guide, feel free to contact one of our project advisors. We are happy to help!

You can find contact details for reaching our staff at our website interregnorthsea.eu.





